Woodland Primary School 2009-2010 Executive Summary Outline

First, Some School Results.....

-Reading Progress: WASL Progress (2009 = 81.6%) (4-year average = 82.7%/State = 70.2%)

-Math Progress: WASL Progress (2009 = 82.4%) (4-year average = 78.6%/State = 67.1%)

-Other Progress Indicators......

Taking our school to the "Next Level!"

*Goal #1

- *Effective professional development......
 - -Monday Mornings (Math Alignment-Cross Grade Collaboration) *Most critical time we have for our work in improving instruction
 - -GLAD Training
 - -Evergreen Math Partnership (Common Grade Level Math Assessments and Think Tank)
- *Effective evaluation, mentorship, and other practices to assist employees......
 - -Coaching reading/student engagement through questioning
 - -Peer Collaboration model through GLAD being encouraged
 - -Block Scheduling (90 minutes Reading/75 minutes Math)
- *Ongoing Evaluation of curriculum and technology tools.....
 - -Schoolwide Planning Process Analyzed all school data, resulted in action plan for next 3-year period. (see attached)

Goal #2

- *School and District buildings will be maintained in a high standard of cleanliness, safety, and order.....
 - -Notable improvement in response, quality of work, and overall communication in this area
 - -Still a "worn" building with HVAC, Carpet, Bathroom cleanliness issues
 - -Student Safety (Bullying/Harassment) issues being studied, staff training, aggressively Monitoring ESD recommendations (see attached)

Goal #4

- *Increased use of electronic media......
 - -Web Page, e-mail lists, and school slide show screen
- *Public meetings focused on interactive engagement......
 - -Project Ready
 - -ELL Homework Club

Activities to Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Professional Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	Timeline When will this strategy or action begin and end?	Resources	Who is Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Monitoring Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student	Status as of May 2011
Place kindergarten and first grade student in RW groups based on RW placement assessments and specific DIBELS measures (LNF and PSF)	How to use LNF activities and Road to the Code Staff time to study/observe	Fall 2010	Data Time K-1 mtg. time	K-1 Teachers Malinda	outcomes? Pop/Drop Progress Monitoring RW Assessments	Pop/Drop On going
Most in need Kindergarten students to attend everyday all day	Determine eligibility and exit criteria	Fall CAST	Necessary staff support based on need Facility	CAST team Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring K Skills	
Progress monitoring given by reading teacher	How to use data to inform instructional decisions	Fall 2010	Team-Building discussion Mark/Malinda teach groups while T monitors S	Mark/Mo/ Malinda to facilitate team discussion	Pop/Drop DIBELS	
Differentiated instructional strategies in RW and SRA for struggling readers-address student motivation and engagement.	GLAD strategies shared with all staff 2011-2012 additional staff trained	Fall 2010 (GLAD participants)	Francis and Roxanne Alisha, Mark, Deb K.	GLAD participants	WLPT RW Progress Monitoring	

Activities to Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Professional Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	Timeline When will this strategy or action begin and end?	Resources	Who is Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Monitoring Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student outcomes?	Status as of May 2011
Report Card Review-look at matching most reliable and accurate data for purposes of increasing parent awareness and resources for improving achievement	RW deep understanding of student readiness	2010 On going	Lisa Howard	Malinda	Teachers use data to inform instructional decisions	
Parent Education on Read Well and DIBELS	K and 1 discussion	2010 discuss and study 2011 Implement	Grade Levels Parent Video (web site) Report DIBELS including LNF, PSF, NWF, ISF	Mark		K-1 revised report card
Spanish Report Card	Research background Common language and resources for sharing with parents	2010 and on-going 2010	Malinda-Parent ed. Teachers translation and printing	Malinda/Teachers Mark/ELL	Parent Feedback surveys	

Procedures for evaluating success in reaching this goal: What SUMMATIVE evidence will be used to show this activity is making a difference in students' outcomes? End of the year DIBELS and MSP scores in reading will be used to evaluate success.

Woodland Primary School MATH ACTION PLAN 2010-2011

School Improvement Goal: 85% of 3rd grade students will meet standard in math on the MSP by 2014. **Strategy:** Identify students early in their academic career and intervening and adjusting based on data.

Activities to Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Professional Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	Timeline When will this strategy or action begin and end?	Resources	Who is Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Monitoring Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student outcomes?	Status as of May 2011
Implementation of common grade level assessments	Intro of assessments to staff	Fall 2010	Monday Morning	District 3 rd grade MSP prompts		
Instructional grouping based on assessments	Differentiation	2011-2012	Materials Scheduling/ Staffing Inside/Outside expertise	Staff	MSP Grade level common assessments	
Strategies to improve: Number sense Measurement		Number sense 2010 Measurement 2011	Inside/outside people			
Interventions for math						

Procedures for evaluating success in reaching this goal: What SUMMATIVE evidence will be used to show this activity is making a difference in students' outcomes? Analysis of MSP scores in math will be used to evaluate success in reaching this goal.

Woodland Primary School Staff Collaboration ACTION PLAN 2010-2011

School Improvement Goal : Staff feedback will improve on the 9 Characteristics of High Performing Schools staff survey from 3.89 to 4.4 in the area of **collaboration**.

Strategy: Collaboration – Staff wide decision making, and collaboration in learning and sharing/analyzing data.

Activities to	Professional	Timeline	Resources	Who is	Monitoring	Status as of
Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	When will this strategy or action begin and end?		Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student outcomes?	May 2011
Reformat site team/staff meeting	Meeting protocols	Fall 2010	Meeting dates in order of: Staff grade level site team	Mark Staff	Informal assessment (December)	Staff survey
Decision making agreements	Process meeting Survey	Spring 2010	Mark create survey	Mark	Mark	
Up to 3 times per year cross grade level collaboration	Creating the process	Up to 3 times per year	What is the discussion point?	Mark/Staff		

Procedures for evaluating success in reaching this goal: What SUMMATIVE evidence will be used to show this activity is making a difference in students' outcomes? Evidence of improvement will be shown in the results of the 9 characteristics staff survey each year.

Woodland Primary School Staff Collaboration ACTION PLAN 2010-2011

School Improvement Goal : Staff feedback will improve on the 9 characteristics of high performing schools staff survey from 3.64 to 4.1 in the area of **Professional Development**.

Strategy: Professional Development – Collaborating to learn together through staff research, book studies, and peer

coaching/observation.

Activities to Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Professional Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	Timeline When will this strategy or action begin and end?	Resources	Who is Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Monitoring Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student outcomes?	Status as of May 2011
Staff Survey of professional learning needs/wants.	Being part of the survey will help staff feel connected to the learning	Spring 2010	Survey creation	Mark	Post survey	May 2011
Staff survey to include: Book study Ed issue research Lab classrooms (GLAD) Site visits Outside facilitation Peer observations/ coaching Monday Mornings focused as a series	Per staff survey	2010-2012	Survey Money Time Monday Staff Mtg.	Mark/Staff	Post survey	May 2011-2012

Procedures for evaluating success in reaching this goal: What SUMMATIVE evidence will be used to show this activity is making a difference in students' outcomes?. ? Evidence of improvement will be shown in the results of the 9 characteristics staff survey each year.

Woodland Primary School School Improvement ACTION PLAN 2010-2011

School Improvement Goal: Parent on-line survey response will increase from .04% to 25%.

Strategy: Create innovative ways to collect feedback from families.

Activities to Achieve this Goal: What actions will occur? What steps will staff take?	Professional Development How will staff acquire the necessary skills and attitudes to implement the activity?	Timeline When will this strategy or action begin and end?	Who is Responsible? Who is involved? Who will provide the leadership? Who will do the work?	Monitoring Effectiveness What evidence will be gathered to show this activity makes a difference in student outcomes?	Status as of May 2011
Movie Night, back to school night, parent conferences, other		Fall 2010	Mark	More data collected	

Procedures for evaluating success in reaching this goal: What SUMMATIVE evidence will be used to show this activity is making a difference in students' outcomes? The data we collect will be more indicative of a wide parent population because we will have a large number of families taking the survey.

Jan Kennell – ESD #112 WPS Playground/School Recommendations May 2010

- *My number one recommendation would be to eliminate the times when students are moving around the building without adult supervision. This would include before school and going back into the building after recess and lunch. It is a safety concern to have unsupervised students walking in front of the building by the parking lot. This is a time when bullying could take place or even possibly a non-custodial parent or stranger taking a child off of the school grounds.
- *Playground staff needs to do a better job of supervising the areas behind the play structure and the basketball area. (This issue was discussed with staff at the Playground Supervision training on May 26th).
- *Work on line behavior and stopping play once the whistle is blown. One school I work with uses the acronym **LINES**:
- L looking forward
- I in control of hands and feet
- N no talking
- E equipment put away
- S stay in your spot

This is taught and reinforced by teachers and playground staff.

- *Might want to consider using a "freeze and walk" at the end of recess. When the whistle is blown, students "freeze" and then walk calmly to line up. I have seen this used at other buildings with success.
- *Continue to teach students the acceptable games for recess and the rules for the games.
- *Continue the implementation of Second Step. This will be more difficult with the elimination of Robin's position because she has been an integral part of supporting this program at WPS. She has been the "go to" person for this program for staff. She also assisted with teaching lessons in the classroom and developing supplemental lessons based on some of the recommended literature.